

From: Michael Wolf [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 29 January 2024 11:05 AM
To: Aged Care Legislative Reform
Subject: TRIM: Aged Care Act name

Aged Care Act 1997 is largely focused on the regulation and payment of providers. Subsequently, Aged Care Quality and Safety Commission Act 2018 is only protect and enhance the safety, health, wellbeing, and quality of life of people receiving **Australian Government funded aged care services**. So far the quality and security of ACQSC is questionable as noticed by Royal Commission.

One in six Australians, about 4 millions Australians are 65+ years old. Only about 245,000 are getting **government subsidies residential and home care**.

Australian Government is responsible for care off all Australians.

Name of the act must be clear – “Age Care Act” if it is for all Australians \geq 65 years old
or “Age Care Act for Government subsidies Australians” or similar.

Such clear name is not only important in itself, it is affects ACQSC Act 2018 as ACQSC under that act only responsible for quality and security **only Government subsidised old Australians**.

ACQSC is getting ~\$10,000 fee from each provider for accreditation. It does not get anything from old people.
It is clear on what side is ACQSC.

Accordingly OPAN is not involved in resolving complaints of old people without government subsidised aged care.

Who is responsible for quality and security other 3,750,000 Australians \geq 65 years old?

In exposure draft, full consultation paper, or plain English consultation paper summary it is shy to clear define that it is an **Act to protect government-funded old people**.

It is misleading when in every phrase it gives impression that care and protection is for all old people.

Regards,

Michael

